586 Naturally, according to the rule of patriliny, all
851 children from a marriage belong to the husband and become
* 613 members of his lineage. Should divorce occur while a woman is nursing, she will take the child with her and it will be returned after weaning. Sometimes the husband will permit the wife to keep one child--always a girl--in order "to keep her company."

587 The practice of the sororate has been noted. The Bedouins also believe that "a man should marry his brother's widow so that she will have someone to help her with the children." But I have no data on the frequencies of these
•12 practices. When I gathered my data on marriage, my informants
58 would give me only the name of the tribe or lineage into which a man married, and never did I get the name of a man's wife.
[829] (One lineage in al-Qasr, entirely refused to give me data on genealogy or marriage because, as one leader said, "it is not decent to speak of these things with people.") Sororal
595 polygny is forbidden (Quran IV:23), and the Bedouins say that a man cannot marry more than one bint 'amm. Marriage
182 practices, such as sororate and levirate, I interpret as
[587] patterns which maintain structural continuity and political
[582] advantage. The custom of permitting a man to marry only one of his father's brother's daughters may be seen as a precaution against intensifying authority relations and the degree of segmentation.

I managed, however, during my stay to be closely in touch with one of the major cases and with almost all the parties involved. This case was used as the basis for the "social drama" analyzed in chapter three of the present study.

In addition to the social drama, I have used the case study method to analyze aspects of the substantive law of the group especially with regard to concepts such as that of criminal responsibility and the nature of the contractual relations as exemplified by the marriage contract. Cases utilized in the study include ones that I observed myself as well as cases taken from texts and some which were told to me by informants.

Most of the data was collected through the time-honored technique of participant observation which was facilitated by the fact that the group spoke the native language of the researcher (or vice versa). Formal interviewing was conducted on a very limited scale, thirty-five extensive questionnaires in all. These questionnaires were originally designed and administered to supplement the life history material and to assess the attitudes of the particular people involved with regard to particular issues. Some of the results of these questionnaires are used in the chapter. Due to the non-representation of the sample interviewed, these results are used merely as illustration and not as representing any kind of statistical frequency.

ENTRY TO THE FIELD

It is common among anthropologists to talk with nostalgia about their field experience and about the group they studied. This usually gives the impression that field work is always an enjoyable experience. Although my field work seems at the present time to have been a pleasant experience, especially when comparing it to the pressures of everyday living in a

5: Peters E-5 (1948-1950) 1967 MT9 Libyan Bedouin MT9 Cyrenaica

AFRICA

JOURNAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL AFRICAN INSTITUTE

VOLUME XXXVII JULY 1967 NUMBER 3

SOME STRUCTURAL ASPECTS OF THE FEUD AMONG THE CAMEL-HERDING BEDOUIN OF CYRENAICA[1]

E. L. PETERS

WHEN a Bedouin kills another in Cyrenaica, one of a number of consequences ensues. According to the Bedouin, the particular consequence is determined by the genealogical positions of the persons or groups concerned. In the first part of this article an analysis of the disturbances in social relationships precipitated by homicide is made, using a lineage model as a framework. In this part of the discussion the arrangement of the information corresponds closely to the view the Bedouin give of their relationships. Additional information is then presented to show that this lineage model neither covers several important areas of social relationships nor enables an accurate prediction of events to be made. This raises the issue: can the comprehensive power of the model be increased by complicating it, or does complexity destroy its utility? The argument advanced is that the lineage model is not a sociological one, but that it is a frame of reference used by a particular people to give them a common-sense kind of understanding of their social relationships. For sociological purposes this means that the lineage model, with its supporting theoretical presuppositions, must perforce be abandoned. In the latter part of this article some of the implications of adopting this position are discussed. Particular stress is

[1] This study is based on field-work in Cyrenaica carried out over a period of twenty-seven months from January 1948 to December 1950. The field-work was made possible by the award of a Horniman Studentship and of a Treasury Senior Studentship in Oriental Languages and Cultures. I wish to express my thanks to both awarding bodies. The paper was presented in its first form to a seminar at Manchester University. Modified versions were read at Oxford and Cambridge and at University College London in 1963. In a Manchester seminar, Professor W. J. M. Mackenzie raised issues which led me to reconsider my mode of analysis. Professor Ely Devons read a draft of the article, and offered valuable suggestions. I also wish to thank Professor Gluckman for the many profitable discussions we have had on the institution of the feud. My interest in the feud stems directly from Evans-Pritchard's work on the institution among the Nuer. I am most grateful to him for his help and encouragement while I was pursuing my researches. Finally, anyone writing on the feud must acknowledge the contribution made to its understanding by Dr. Elizabeth Colson.

Thanks are due to the Association of Social Anthropologists for a grant from the Radcliffe-Brown Memorial Fund towards the publication of this paper.

'Africa', the Journal of the International African Institute, is published by the Institute, but except where otherwise stated the writers of the articles are responsible for the opinions expressed. Issued quarterly. © *International African Institute, 1967. All rights reserved.*